



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,522	01/23/2002	Keith Alexander Harrison	1509-271	1585
22429 75	90 11/24/2004		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			NGUYEN, HUY D	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 /310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/053,522	HARRISON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huy D Nguyen	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	anuary 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= ' :	- · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Z					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11042004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-14, 18-20, 22-24, 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barkan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,098,877).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 10, 18-20, 24, Barkan et al. teaches a base station for safekeeping of data, the base station composing a power source, a data storage device and an interface, wherein the interface is operable to transfer data from a portable data-holding device to the data storage device for storing the data in said device, and the power sore is operable to recharge a power source of he portable data-holding device (Col. 16, lines 29-37).

Regarding claim 2, Barkan et al. teaches the base station as claimed in claim 1, adapted to receive the portable data-holding device (Col. 16, lines 23-37).

Regarding claim 3, Barkan et al. teaches the base station as claimed in claim 1, wherein the data storage device has a data storage capacity of n.times.a data storage capacity of the portable data-holding device, n being an integer (Col. 16, lines 23-37).

Regarding claim 4, Barkan et al. teaches the base station as claimed in claim 1, wherein the data storage device is operable to store multiple downloads from the portable data-holding device (Col. 16, lines 23-37).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 5, Barkan et al. teaches the base station as claimed in claim 1, the base station being portable (Figs. 1 & 11).

Regarding claim 6, Barkan et al. teaches the base station as claimed claim 1, operable to upload and download data from the portable data-holding device (Col. 16, lines 29-37).

Regarding claim 7, Barkan et al. teaches the base station as claimed in claim 1, having no moving parts (Col. 7, lines 14-34).

Regarding claim 11, Barkan et al. teaches the combination comprising a base station as claimed in claim 1 and a portable data-holding device receivable by the base station, data being transferable between the portable data-holding device and the base station (Col. 16, lines 29-37).

Regarding claim 12, Barkan et al. teaches the combination as claimed in claim 11, wherein the base station occupies a slightly larger area than one face of the portable data-holding device (Figs. 1 & 11).

Regarding claims 13-14, Barkan et al. teaches the combination as claimed in claim 11, wherein the base station is adapted to recognise a coded identifier associated with a specific said portable data-holding device (Col. 16, lines 23-37).

Regarding claims 22, 26, Barkan et al. teaches the device of claim 20 wherein the circuitry is arranged for downloading data from the portable data-holding device to the data safe as a default condition while the portable device and the data safe are mechanically and electrically connected (Col. 16, lines 23-37).

Regarding claims 23, 27, Barkan et al. teaches the device of claim 20 wherein the circuitry is arranged for downloading data from the portable data-holding device to the data safe

Art Unit: 2681

as a condition involving no more user input than does downloading while the portable device and the data safe are mechanically and electrically connected (Col. 16, lines 23-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9, 21, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barkan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,098,877) in view of Higashiura (US 2002/0002561).

Regarding claims 9, 21, and 25, Barkan et al. teaches the claimed invention except for prompting a user of the device to indicate whether to back-up the data. However, the preceding limitation is taught in Higashiura (paragraph 0070). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of Higashiura to the teaching of Barkan et al. to make sure that the user wants to do the back up.

Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barkan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,098,877) in view of Chaco et al. (U.S. Patent No. RE37,531).

Regarding claims 15 and 17, Barkan et al. fails to teach the combination as claimed in claim 11, wherein a user of a specific said portable data-holding device is assigned a personal identification number (PIN). However, the preceding limitation is taught in Chaco et al. (Col. 1, lines 30-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of Chaco et al. to the teaching of Barkan et al. for security.

Art Unit: 2681

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 16, Barkan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,098,877, the closest prior art, either singularly or in combination, fails to teach the combination as claimed in claim 15, wherein the PIN determines which specific portion of the data storage device receives the user's back up, in use.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Rahn et al. (US 2002/0103008) teaches cordless communication between PDA and host computer using cradle.
 - Burke et al. (US 5,333,176) teaches cellular hand held portable speakerphone system having an interface adapter.
 - Bates et al. (US 6,459,969) teaches apparatus, program product and method of processing diagnostic data transferred from a host computer to a portable computer.
 - Woods et al. (US 2003/0072303) teaches multiple-interface port multiplexer.
 - Muller et al. (US 6,363,256) teaches cordless telephone and clock management method.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/053,522

Art Unit: 2681

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-308-4825. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(May

Huy Nguyen

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600